



~26 participants



Working group 4

Community building and communication

Iris Ehlert (DKRZ)

Working group 4 has taken place throughout the workshop.

Working group 4 has taken place throughout the workshop.

All presentations, discussions during the breaks, bilateral consultations, the working groups, the dinner and of course today - all this was part of the working group 4 and thus of communication and the community building.

Thanks to everyone who answered the survey yesterday despite the very busy program!

Questions from the survey, each with three example answers.

Q: What will be different in your daily work when we have achieved the natESM vision?



1. Readiness for cutting edge science. Well documented code and input data and relatively straight forward to set up.
2. A successful collaborative process would strengthen the position of the scientific community as a partner to politics and government at eye level
3. one (supported) German model will hopefully stop the discussions whether a certain model is worth a try or not

Q: What advantage(s) do you see in being part of natESM?



1. Larger community with more potential than single institution could offer, support from policy
2. A model environment and expert community to do cutting edge science and developments. I cannot do fully coupled studies alone.
3. broad community building and knowing about what the other research fields are up to is certainly beneficial to identify partners for collaborations to address specific scientific questions

Q: What do you need from us in order to engage fully in this community effort?



1. Training for students, model code on a technical level that is still understandable for researchers
2. More community... Need to acknowledge and support it the whole range of possible scientific questions.
3. good communication of future plans and decisions and a forum for exchange.

Q: What do you need from us in order to engage fully in this community effort?



1. Training for students, model code on a technical level that is still understandable for researchers
2. More community... Need to acknowledge the whole range of possible scientific contributions and support it the whole way
3. good communication, decisions and a forum for exchange.

**Meetings without
outcomes/clear next steps
should be avoided!**

Q: What do you need from us in order to engage fully in this community effort?



1. Training for students, model code on a technical level that is still understandable for researchers
2. More community... Need to acknowledge and support it the whole range of possible scientific questions.
3. good communication of future plans and decisions and a forum for exchange.

If there is anything you would like to see concretely now and today – raise your hand and speak up!

- Another workshop this year?
- Build a working group on “trainings”?

Our resources are limited.

- The project ends in February 25 and we only have this fixed budget.
- We all will be doing our best to bring the community together and establish an ESM system as presented yesterday.

Our resources are limited.

- The project ends in February 25 and we only have this fixed budget.
- We all will be doing our best to bring the community together and establish an ESM system as presented yesterday.
 1. We have the sprints with which we support you in the technical implementation of your projects.
 2. We have community interactions like this workshop, where we have to regularly coordinate and adjust our strategy.
 3. I will continue to work on our communication strategy.
 4. We have laid the foundation for the establishment of working groups.

**The whole thing only works
if you become active and really get
involved.**